

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	D. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,546	1	2/14/2001	Shoei-Yuan Shih	2450-0460P	3288	
2292	7590	10/23/2003		EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		KOLASCH & BIR	DUONG, HUNG V			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2835		

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	2./
		10/014,546	SHIH, SHOEI-YUAN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hung v Duong	2835	_
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover shet	with the correspondence address	- '
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory cure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of to period will apply and will expire SIX (6) My statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>23 <i>July</i> 2003</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)∑	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice usion of Claims			is
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-21</u> is/are pending in t	the application.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	• •	•	
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-11 and 17-21</u> is/are allowed			
6)⊠				
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
40)□	If approved, corrected drawings are required	, <u>-</u>		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	ial Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.(C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).
) \square The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notic	re of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chin (US 2002/0089823) in view of Weng (US D445,761S).

Regarding claim 12 Chin discloses in figure 1, a server contained four juxtapose hard disk drives 2 having four juxtaposed standard 3.5 inches hard disk drives housed in a server which is conformed to 1U 19 inches specifications, comprising: a casing 1 having a main partition 11, a bottom board 5 to form four retrieval chambers 12 for housing the four hard disk drives 2, each retrieval chamber 12 containing a retrieval cradle 21 which has a displacement board, an anchor rack 211 located at a front end of the displacement board and a side board located on one side of the displacement board, the anchor rack 211 having a handle 23 mounted thereon for retrieving the retrieval cradle 21, the displacement board 11 and the side board having respectively a plurality of fasten apertures 14 formed thereon to fasten the hard disk drives 2 such that the four standard 3.5 inches hard disk drives are allowed to house in the server

Application/Control Number: 10/014,546 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

conformed to 1U 19 inches specifications in a juxtaposed manner and are retrievable through the retrieval cradles 21.

Chin fails to disclose the anchor rack of the retrieval cradle has a jutting strut pivotally engaged with the handle. However, Weng discloses the anchor rack of the retrieval cradle has a jutting strut pivotally engaged with the handle (figure 1). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the anchor rack of the retrieval cradle has a jutting strut pivotally engaged with the handle of Weng into Chin's handle as applicant's invention in order to be pivoted the handle more easily.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 13-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-11, and 17-21 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to show that the secondary partition has a bottom end formed a first anchor section for fastening to the bottom board of the casing, the first anchor section having a first latch slot to engage with a first latch element located on the bottom board or that the bottom board has a stopping ridge corresponding to a rear end of the secondary partition for confining the secondary partition at a selected location or that a brake elastic strip located between one side of the anchor rack and a retain section

Application/Control Number: 10/014,546

Art Unit: 2835

located on a front end of the secondary partition to form a braking relationship therebetween, the brake elastic strip having a jutting section, a depressing section formed at a front free end thereof, a latch bore and an anchor section, the anchor rack having an opening formed on one side thereof to allow the brake elastic strip to pass through, and a latch lug and an anchor bore to engage respectively with the latch bore and the anchor section, the jutting section of the brake elastic strip being engageable with the retain section of the secondary partition.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-11 and new claims 12-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

None.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung v Duong whose telephone number is 703-308-4889. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/014,546

Art Unit: 2835

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 703 308 4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

HVD

10/14/03

Hung Duong

Hay V.

Patent Examiner.

Page 5